

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.enplo.gov

23-460 7-590 08056/2009 EXAMINER LEVDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 RAPILLO, KRISTINE K 180 NORTH STETSON A VENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731 AKT UNIT PAPER NI 3626	ICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRIDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731 EAMINER EAMINER EAMINER EAMINER EAMINER EAMINER EAMINER EAMINER EAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NI 5626	10/813,968	03/31/2004	Howard R. Underwood	228278	5921
TWO PRIDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731 RAPILIO, KRISTINE K ART UNIT PAPER N 3626			•	EXAM	IINER
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731 ART UNIT PAPER NI 3626	TWO PRUDEN	NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE	4900	RAPILLO, F	KRISTINE K
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVER				3626	
NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVER					
08/05/2009 ELECTI					DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com Chgpatent1@leydig.com

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/813,968
 UNDERWOOD ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 KRISTINE K. RAPILLO
 3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KRISTINE K. RAPILLO. (3)Dmitry Kapmar. (2) C. Luke Gilligan. (4)____. Date of Interview: 28 July 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 19. Identification of prior art discussed: Goetz. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Suggested language for claim 1 by incorporating the specific predetermined categories of Table 1 of the specification into the claim limitations and specifying a value is a numerical value. proposed claim language of claim 1 is allowable over the prior art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C. Lu	e Gilligan/			
	isory Patent Exam	inor Art Unit 201	26	